

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JACOBY T. JACKSON,

 Petitioner,

 v.

CALIFORNIA,

 Respondent.

Case No. 1:25-cv-0348 JLT SAB (HC)

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING THE
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS AS DUPLICATIVE, AND
DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO
CLOSE THE CASE**

(Doc. 7)

Jacoby T. Jackson is a state prisoner proceeding with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, seeking to challenge his 2023 convictions for murder, arson, and vehicle theft. The magistrate judge performed a preliminary review pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases and found the petition is duplicative of a petition currently pending in *Jackson v. Tulare County*, Case No. 1:25-cv-00257-SAB. (Doc. 7 at 2-3.) The magistrate judge declined to construe the current petition as an amendment to the petition in Case No. 1:25-cv-00257 because Petitioner did “not attempt to raise new claims” and made “fewer factual allegations than the petition currently pending in the previously filed case.” (*Id.* at 2-3.) Thus, the magistrate judge recommended this petition be dismissed as duplicative. (*Id.* at 3.)

The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Petitioner and notified him that any objections were due within 30 days. (Doc. 7 at 3.) The Court advised him that the “failure to file any objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s

order.” (*Id.*, citing *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Petitioner did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed.

According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on April 25, 2025 (Doc. 7) are **ADOPTED** in full.
2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED** as duplicative.
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **June 12, 2025**


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE